

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Nonlinear Analysis**





# Local $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ -minimizers versus local $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -minimizers of nonsmooth functionals

### Patrick Winkert

Technische Universität Berlin, Institut für Mathematik, Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 December 2009 Accepted 3 February 2010

MSC. 34A60 35R70

49152

49J53 Keywords:

Local minimizers Nonsmooth functionals

Clarke's generalized gradient

p-Laplacian

#### ABSTRACT

We study not necessarily differentiable functionals of the form

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} j_1(x, u) dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} j_2(x, \gamma u) d\sigma$$

with  $1 involving locally Lipschitz functions <math>j_1: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  as well as  $j_2:\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ . We prove that local  $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ -minimizers of J must be local  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ minimizers of *I*.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

We consider the functional  $J: W^{1,p}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} j_1(x, u) dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} j_2(x, \gamma u) d\sigma$$

$$\tag{1.1}$$

with  $1 . The domain <math>\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is supposed to be bounded with Lipschitz boundary  $\partial \Omega$  and the nonlinearities  $j_1: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  as well as  $j_2: \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  are measurable in the first argument and locally Lipschitz in the second one. By  $\gamma: W^{1,p}(\Omega) \to L^{q_1}(\partial \Omega)$  for  $1 < q_1 < p_*$  ( $p_* = (N-1)p/(N-p)$  if p < N and  $p_* = +\infty$  if  $p \ge N$ ), we denote the trace operator which is known to be linear, bounded and even compact. Note that  $J:W^{1,p}(\Omega)\to\mathbb{R}$  does not have to be differentiable and that it corresponds to the following elliptic inclusion

$$-\Delta_p u + |u|^{p-2} u + \partial j_1(x, u) \ni 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
  
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial v} + \partial j_2(x, \gamma u) \ni 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,$$

where  $-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ , 1 , is the negative*p* $-Laplacian. The symbol <math>\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$  denotes the outward pointing conormal derivative associated with  $-\Delta_p$  and  $\partial j_k(x,u)$ , k=1,2, stands for Clarke's generalized gradient given by

$$\partial j_k(x,s) = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R} : j_k^0(x,s;r) \ge \xi r, \forall r \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

The term  $j_{\nu}^{0}(x,s;r)$  denotes the generalized directional derivative of the locally Lipschitz function  $s \mapsto j_{k}(x,s)$  at s in the direction r defined by

$$j_k^0(x, s; r) = \limsup_{y \to s, t \downarrow 0} \frac{j_k(x, y + tr) - j_k(x, y)}{t},$$

(cf. [1, Chapter 2]). It is clear that  $j_k^0(x, s; r) \in \mathbb{R}$  because  $j_k(x, \cdot)$  is locally Lipschitz.

The main goal of this paper is the comparison of local  $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  and local  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -minimizers. That means that if  $u_0 \in$  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is a local  $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ -minimizer of J, then  $u_0$  is also a local  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -minimizer of J. This result is stated in our main Theorem 3.1.

Such a result was first proven for functionals corresponding to elliptic equations with Dirichlet boundary values by Brezis and Nirenberg in [2] if p = 2. They consider potentials of the form

$$\Phi(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u),$$

where  $F(x,u)=\int_0^u f(x,s) ds$  with some Carathéodory function  $f:\Omega\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ . An extension to the more general case  $1< p<\infty$  can be found in the paper of García Azorero et al. in [3]. We also refer the reader to [4] if p>2. As regards nonsmooth functionals defined on  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  with  $2 \le p < \infty$ , we point to the paper [5]. A very inspiring paper about local minimizers of potentials associated with nonlinear parametric Neumann problems was published by Motreanu et al. in [6]. Therein, the authors study the functional

$$\phi_0(x) = \frac{1}{p} \|Dx\|_p^p - \int_Z F_0(z, x(z)) dz, \quad \forall x \in W_n^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

with

$$W_n^{1,p}(\Omega) = \left\{ y \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : \frac{\partial x}{\partial n} = 0 \right\},$$

where  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial n}$  is the outer normal derivative of u and  $F_0(z,x) = \int_0^x f_0(z,s) ds$ , as well as 1 . A similar result corresponding to nonsmooth functionals defined on  $W_n^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for the case  $2 \le p < \infty$  was proved in [7]. We also refer the reader to the paper in [8] for 1 .

A recent paper about the relationship between local  $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ -minimizers and local  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -minimizers of  $C^1$ -functionals has been treated by the author in [9]. The idea of the present paper was the generalization to the more general case of nonsmooth functionals defined on  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  with 1 involving boundary integrals which in general do not vanish.

## 2. Hypotheses

We suppose the following conditions on the nonsmooth potentials  $j_1: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $j_2: \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

- (H1) (i)  $x \mapsto j_1(x, s)$  is measurable in  $\Omega$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - (ii)  $s \mapsto j_1(x, s)$  is locally Lipschitz in  $\mathbb{R}$  for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ .
  - (iii) There exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that for almost all  $x \in \Omega$  and for all  $\xi_1 \in \partial j_1(x, s)$  it holds that

$$|\xi_1| \le c_1(1+|s|^{q_0-1}) \tag{2.1}$$

with 
$$1 < q_0 < p^*$$
, where  $p^*$  is the Sobolev critical exponent
$$p^* = \begin{cases} \frac{Np}{N-p} & \text{if } p < N, \\ +\infty & \text{if } p \ge N. \end{cases}$$

- (H2) (i)  $x \mapsto j_2(x, s)$  is measurable in  $\partial \Omega$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - (ii)  $s \mapsto j_2(x, s)$  is locally Lipschitz in  $\mathbb{R}$  for almost all  $x \in \partial \Omega$ .
  - (iii) There exists a constant  $c_2 > 0$  such that for almost all  $x \in \partial \Omega$  and for all  $\xi_2 \in \partial j_2(x, s)$  it holds that

$$|\xi_2| \le c_2 (1 + |s|^{q_1 - 1})$$
with  $1 < q_1 < p_*$ , where  $p_*$  is given by
$$\left\{ \frac{(N - 1)p}{n} \quad \text{if } n < N \right\}$$

$$p_* = \begin{cases} \frac{(N-1)p}{N-p} & \text{if } p < N, \\ +\infty & \text{if } p \ge N. \end{cases}$$

(iv) Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Then every  $\xi_3 \in \partial j_2(x,u)$  satisfies the condition  $|\xi_3(x_1) - \xi_3(x_2)| \le L|x_1 - x_2|^{\alpha},$ for all  $x_1, x_2$  in  $\partial \Omega$  with  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Note that the conditions above imply that the functional  $J:W^{1,p}(\Omega)\to\mathbb{R}$  is locally Lipschitz (see [10] or [11, p. 313]). That guarantees, in particular, that Clarke's generalized gradient  $s \mapsto \partial I(s)$  exists.

## 3. $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ versus $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let the conditions (H1) and (H2) be satisfied. If  $u_0 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is a local  $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ -minimizer of J, that is, there exists  $r_1 > 0$  such that

$$J(u_0) \leq J(u_0 + h)$$
 for all  $h \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  with  $||h||_{C^1(\overline{\Omega})} \leq r_1$ ,

then  $u_0$  is a local minimizer of I in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , that is, there exists  $r_2 > 0$  such that

$$J(u_0) \le J(u_0 + h)$$
 for all  $h \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  with  $||h||_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le r_2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $h \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  and let  $\beta > 0$  small. Then we have

$$0 \leq \frac{J(u_0 + \beta h) - J(u_0)}{\beta},$$

which means that

$$0 \le J^{o}(u_0; h)$$
 for all  $h \in C^{1}(\overline{\Omega})$ .

The continuity of  $J^0(u_0;\cdot)$  on  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and the density of  $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  imply

$$0 \le J^{o}(u_0; h)$$
 for all  $h \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

Hence, we get

$$0 \in \partial I(u_0)$$
.

The inclusion above implies the existence of  $h_1 \in L^{q_0'}(\Omega)$  with  $h_1(x) \in \partial j_1(x,u_0(x))$  and  $h_2 \in L^{q_1'}(\partial\Omega)$  with  $h_2(x) \in \partial j_2(x,y(u_0(x)))$  satisfying  $1/q_0 + 1/q_0' = 1$  as well as  $1/q_1 + 1/q_1' = 1$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \nabla u_0 \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^{p-2} u_0 \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} h_1 \varphi dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} h_2 \gamma \varphi d\sigma = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$
(3.1)

Note that Eq. (3.1) is the weak formulation of the Neumann boundary value problem

$$-\Delta_p u_0 = h_1 - |u_0|^{p-2} u_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial v} = h_2 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

where  $\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu}$  means the outward pointing conormal and  $-\Delta_p$  is the negative p-Laplacian. The regularity results in [12, Theorem 4.1 and Remark 2.2] along with [13, Theorem 2] ensure the existence of  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and M > 0 such that

$$u_0 \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \le M.$$
 (3.2)

In order to prove the theorem, we argue indirectly and suppose that the theorem is not valid. Hence, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $y_{\varepsilon} \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(u_0)}$  such that

$$J(y_{\varepsilon}) = \min \left\{ J(y) : y \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(u_0)} \right\} < J(u_0), \tag{3.3}$$

where  $B_{\varepsilon}(u_0) = \{y \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : \|y - u_0\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} < \varepsilon\}$ . More precisely,  $y_{\varepsilon}$  solves

$$\begin{cases} \min J(y) \\ y \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}(u_0)}, F_{\varepsilon}(y) := \frac{1}{p} \left( \|y - u_0\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \varepsilon^p \right) \le 0. \end{cases}$$

The usage of the nonsmooth multiplier rule of Clarke in [14, Theorem 1 and Proposition 13] yields the existence of a multiplier  $\lambda_{\epsilon} \geq 0$  such that

$$0 \in \partial J(y_{\varepsilon}) + \lambda_{\varepsilon} F_{\varepsilon}'(y_{\varepsilon}).$$

This means that we find  $g_1 \in L^{q_0'}(\Omega)$  with  $g_1(x) \in \partial j_1(x, y_{\varepsilon}(x))$  as well as  $g_2 \in L^{q_1'}(\partial \Omega)$  with  $g_2(x) \in \partial j_2(x, \gamma(y_{\varepsilon}(x)))$  to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla y_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} \nabla y_{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} |y_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} y_{\varepsilon} \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} g_{1} \varphi dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} g_{2} \gamma \varphi d\sigma 
+ \lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (y_{\varepsilon} - u_{0})|^{p-2} \nabla (y_{\varepsilon} - u_{0}) \nabla \varphi dx + \lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |y_{\varepsilon} - u_{0}|^{p-2} (y_{\varepsilon} - u_{0}) \varphi dx = 0,$$
(3.4)

for all  $\varphi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Next, we have to show that  $y_{\varepsilon}$  belongs to  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and hence to  $C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ .

Case 1:  $\lambda_{\varepsilon} = 0$  with  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ .

From (3.4) we see that  $y_{\varepsilon}$  solves the Neumann boundary value problem

$$-\Delta_p y_{\varepsilon} = -g_1 - |y_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} y_{\varepsilon} \text{ in } \Omega,$$
  
 $\frac{\partial y_{\varepsilon}}{\partial y} = -g_2 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,$ 

As before, the regularity results in [12,13] yield (3.2) for  $y_{\varepsilon}$ .

Case 2:  $0 < \lambda_{\varepsilon} \le 1$  with  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ .

Multiplying (3.1) with  $\lambda_{\varepsilon}$  and adding (3.4) yields

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla y_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} \nabla y_{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi dx + \lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{0}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{0} \nabla \varphi dx + \lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (y_{\varepsilon} - u_{0})|^{p-2} \nabla (y_{\varepsilon} - u_{0}) \nabla \varphi dx 
= -\int_{\Omega} (\lambda_{\varepsilon} h_{1} + g_{1} + \lambda_{\varepsilon} |u_{0}|^{p-2} u_{0}) \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} (\lambda_{\varepsilon} |y_{\varepsilon} - u_{0}|^{p-2} (y_{\varepsilon} - u_{0}) + |y_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} y_{\varepsilon}) \varphi dx 
- \int_{\Omega} (\lambda_{\varepsilon} h_{2} + g_{2}) \gamma \varphi d\sigma.$$
(3.5)

With (3.5) in mind, we introduce the operator  $T_{\varepsilon}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$  given by

$$T_{\varepsilon}(x,\xi) = |\xi|^{p-2}\xi + \lambda_{\varepsilon}|H|^{p-2}H + \lambda_{\varepsilon}|\xi - H|^{p-2}(\xi - H),$$

where  $H(x) = \nabla u_0(x)$  and  $H \in (C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}))^N$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . It is clear that  $T_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi) \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . For  $x \in \Omega$  we have

$$(T_{\varepsilon}(x,\xi),\xi)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} = \|\xi\|^{p} + \lambda_{\varepsilon}(|\xi-H|^{p-2}(\xi-H) - |-H|^{p-2}(-H),\xi-H-(-H))_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}$$

$$\geq \|\xi\|^{p} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N},$$
(3.6)

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{R}^N}$  is the inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . The estimate (3.6) shows that  $T_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies a strong ellipticity condition. Hence, the equation in (3.5) is the weak formulation of the elliptic Neumann boundary value problem

$$\begin{split} -\operatorname{div} T_{\varepsilon}(x,\nabla y_{\varepsilon}) &= -(\lambda_{\varepsilon}h_{1} + g_{1} + \lambda_{\varepsilon}(|u_{0}|^{p-2}u_{0} + |y_{\varepsilon} - u_{0}|^{p-2}(y_{\varepsilon} - u_{0})) + |y_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2}y_{\varepsilon}) &\quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial v_{\varepsilon}}{\partial v_{\varepsilon}} &= -(\lambda_{\varepsilon}h_{2} + g_{2}) &\quad \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{split}$$

Using again the regularity results in [12] in combination with (3.6) and the growth conditions (H1)(iii) as well as (H2)(iii) proves  $y_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Note that

$$\|D_{\xi}T_{\varepsilon}(x,\xi)\|_{\mathbb{D}^{N}} < b_{1} + b_{2}|\xi|^{p-2},\tag{3.7}$$

where  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  are some positive constants. We also obtain

$$\begin{split} (D_{\xi}T_{\varepsilon}(x,\xi)y,y)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} &= |\xi|^{p-2}|y|^{2} + (p-2)|\xi|^{p-4}(\xi,y)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{2} + \lambda_{\varepsilon}|\xi - H|^{p-2}|y|^{2} + \lambda_{\varepsilon}(p-2)|\xi - H|^{p-4}(\xi - H,y)_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{2} \\ &\geq \begin{cases} |\xi|^{p-2}|y|^{2} & \text{if } p \geq 2\\ (p-1)|\xi|^{p-2}|y|^{2} & \text{if } 1$$

Because of (3.7) and (3.8), the assumptions of Lieberman in [13] are satisfied and, thus, Theorem 2 in [13] ensures the existence of  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and M > 0, both independent of  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ , such that

$$y_{\varepsilon} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|y_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \le M, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in (0,1].$$
 (3.9)

Case 3:  $\lambda_{\varepsilon} > 1$  with  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ .

Multiplying (3.1) with -1, setting  $v_{\varepsilon} = y_{\varepsilon} - u_0$  in (3.4) and adding these new equations yields

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla (u_{0} + v_{\varepsilon})|^{p-2} \nabla (u_{0} + v_{\varepsilon}) \nabla \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{0}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{0} \nabla \varphi dx + \lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi dx 
= \int_{\Omega} (|u_{0}|^{p-2} u_{0} - |v_{\varepsilon} + u_{0}|^{p-2} (v_{\varepsilon} + u_{0}) - \lambda_{\varepsilon} |v_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2} v_{\varepsilon}) \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} (h_{1} - g_{1}) \varphi dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} (h_{2} - g_{2}) \gamma \varphi d\sigma.$$
(3.10)

Defining again

$$T_{\varepsilon}(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} (|H + \xi|^{p-2} (H + \xi) - |H|^{p-2} H) + |\xi|^{p-2} \xi$$

and rewriting (3.10) yields the equation

$$-\operatorname{div} T_{\varepsilon}(x, \nabla v_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} (|u_{0}|^{p-2}u_{0} - |v_{\varepsilon} + u_{0}|^{p-2}(v_{\varepsilon} + u_{0}) - \lambda_{\varepsilon}|v_{\varepsilon}|^{p-2}v_{\varepsilon} + h_{1} - g_{1}) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\frac{\partial v_{\varepsilon}}{\partial v} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} (h_{2} - g_{2}) \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

As above, we have the following estimates:

$$(T_{\varepsilon}(x,\xi),\xi)_{\mathbb{P}^N} > \|\xi\|^p \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$
 (3.11)

$$\|D_{\xi}T_{\varepsilon}(x,\xi)\|_{\mathbb{P}^{N}} < a_{1} + a_{2}|\xi|^{p-2},\tag{3.12}$$

$$(D_{\xi}T_{\xi}(x,\xi)y,y)_{\mathbb{P}^{N}} \ge \min\{1,p-1\}|\xi|^{p-2}|y|^{2},\tag{3.13}$$

with some positive constants  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ . Due to (3.11) along with [12], we obtain  $v_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . The statements (3.12) as well as (3.13) allow us to apply again the regularity results of Lieberman which implies the existence of  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and M > 0, both independent of  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ , such that (3.9) holds for  $v_{\varepsilon}$ . Because of  $y_{\varepsilon} = v_{\varepsilon} + u_0$  and (3.2), we obtain (3.9) in the case  $\lambda_{\varepsilon} > 1$ . Summarizing, we have proved that  $y_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and  $y_{\varepsilon} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$  with  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

Let  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . We know that the embedding  $C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \hookrightarrow C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  is compact (cf. [15, p. 38] or [16, p. 11]). Hence, we find a subsequence  $y_{\varepsilon_n}$  of  $y_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $y_{\varepsilon_n} \to \widetilde{y}$  in  $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ . By construction we have  $y_{\varepsilon_n} \to u_0$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  which yields  $\widetilde{y} = u_0$ . So, for *n* sufficiently large, say  $n > n_0$ , we have

$$\|y_{\varepsilon_n}-u_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}\leq r_1,$$

which provides

$$J(u_0) \le J(y_{\varepsilon_n}). \tag{3.14}$$

However, the choice of the sequence  $(y_{\varepsilon_n})$  implies

$$J(y_{\varepsilon_n}) < J(u_0), \quad \forall n \geq n_0$$

(see (3.3)) which is a contradiction to (3.14). This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### References

- [1] F.H. Clarke, Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis, 2nd edition, in: Classics in Applied Mathematics, vol. 5, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1990.
- [2] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, H<sup>1</sup> versus C<sup>1</sup> local minimizers, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 317 (5) (1993) 465–472.
- [3] J.P. García Azorero, I. Peral Alonso, J.J. Manfredi, Sobolev versus Hölder local minimizers and global multiplicity for some quasilinear elliptic equations, Commun. Contemp. Math. 2 (3) (2000) 385-404. [4] Z. Guo, Z. Zhang, W<sup>1,p</sup> versus C<sup>1</sup> local minimizers and multiplicity results for quasilinear elliptic equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 286 (1) (2003) 32–50.
- [5] D. Motreanu, N.S. Papageorgiou, Multiple solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations at resonance with a nonsmooth potential, Nonlinear Anal. 56 (8)
- (2004) 1211-1234.
- [6] D. Motreanu, V.V. Motreanu, N.S. Papageorgiou, Nonlinear Neumann problems near resonance, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 58 (2008) 1257-1280.
- G. Barletta, N.S. Papageorgiou, A multiplicity theorem for the Neumann p-Laplacian with an asymmetric nonsmooth potential, J. Global Optim. 39 (3) (2007)365-392.
- [8] A. Iannizzotto, N.S. Papageorgiou, Existence of three nontrivial solutions for nonlinear Neumann hemivariational inequalities, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (9) (2009) 3285-3297.
- [9] P. Winkert, Constant-sign and sign-changing solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations with Neumann boundary values, Adv. Differential Equations (in press).
- K.C. Chang, Variational methods for nondifferentiable functionals and their applications to partial differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 80 (1) (1981) 102-129.
- [11] S. Hu, N.S. Papageorgiou, Handbook of Multivalued Analysis. Vol. II, in: Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 500, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.

- [12] P. Winkert, L<sup>∞</sup>-estimates for nonlinear elliptic Neumann boundary value problems, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. doi:10.1007/s00030-009-0054-5.
   [13] G.M. Lieberman, Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, Nonlinear Anal. 12 (11) (1988) 1203–1219.
- [14] F.H. Clarke, A new approach to Lagrange multipliers, Math. Oper. Res. 1 (2) (1976) 165–174.
- [15] A. Kufner, O. John, S. Fučík, Function Spaces, in: Monographs and Textbooks on Mechanics of Solids and Fluids; Mechanics: Analysis, Noordhoff
- International Publishing, Leyden, 1977.
  [16] R.A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, in: Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 65, Academic Press (A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers), New York, London, 1975.